



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,422	09/17/2004	Patrick Murphy	106734.00012	5421
34802	7590	10/23/2007	EXAMINER	
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP			FERNSTROM, KURT	
ATTN: STEFAN V. STEIN/ IP DEPT.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
POST OFFICE BOX 1288			3711	
TAMPA, FL 33601-1288				

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/711,422	MURPHY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kurt Fernstrom	3711

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 25-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 25-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schleppenbach in view of Kashi. Schleppenbach discloses in Figures 1 and 2 and in the specification a monolithic Braille cell cap 22 and an associated assembly, where the cell cap covers a plurality of Braille cells 32 and has a plurality of apertures 30a, each aperture adapted to receive a single tactile cell pin 34. Schleppenbach fails to disclose the the cell cap has a plurality of walls and encases the Braille pins. Kashi discloses in Figure 2 and in the specification a cell cap having a plurality of walls, which encases the pins. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the teachings of Schleppenbach by providing a cell cap which encases the pins for the purpose of providing additional protection to the pins. With respect to claim 28, the cell cap of Schleppenbach is adapted to provide a positive stop for the pins, as shown in Figure 2. With respect to claim 29, the plurality of button access holes as recited are considered to be a mere multiplication of the button hole shown in Figure 1 of Schleppenbach for receiving button 54, and is considered to be an obvious variation on the teachings of the prior art. With respect to claim 30, Schleppenbach fails to explicitly recite that the cell cap is releasably engaged to the assembly. Fasteners 24 are

disclosed, but there is no discussion of what types of fasteners are used. Given that screws are the most common types of fasteners in this sort of setting, and given the obvious need to provide periodic maintenance on the underlying devices, providing removable screws would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art. With respect to the recitation of a top wall, bottom wall and angel wall, Kashi discloses a device with walls which can be designated with the recited labels. Also, Schleppenbach discloses a second plate 26 having holes aligned with those of cap 22 for receiving tactile pins, as best shown in Figure 2. With respect to claim 31, the claimed configuration is an obvious variation on the teachings of the prior art. With respect to claim 32, Schleppenbach discloses in column 3, lines 63-65 that the cap 22 and the bottom wall of the assembly 26 are fabricated of an insulative material, thus suggesting the recited feature.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (571) 272-4422. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KF
October 19, 2007



KURT FERNSTROM
PRIMARY EXAMINER